

NAFTA * impasse Crosstabulation

		If impasse		Total
		withdraw	deal w Mexico	
NAFTA yes	Count	2	11	13
	% within impasse	11.8%	55.0%	35.1%
not sure	Count	8	6	14
	% within impasse	47.1%	30.0%	37.8%
no	Count	7	3	10
	% within impasse	41.2%	15.0%	27.0%
Total	Count	17	20	37
	% within impasse	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tauc = -.482; Somer's d = -.485;

p = .001

1. If the current negotiations with NAFTA reach an impasse, should Canada simply withdraw from the agreement?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

1. If the current negotiations with NAFTA reach an impasse, should Canada strike a deal with Mexico?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

Note: Responses recoded as shown in table.

TPP * TPPvar Crosstabulation

		TPPvar		Total	
		despite hazards	promote exports		
TPP	yes	Count	2	9	11
		% within TPPvar	11.8%	45.0%	29.7%
	not sure	Count	6	6	12
		% within TPPvar	35.3%	30.0%	32.4%
	no	Count	9	5	14
		% within TPPvar	52.9%	25.0%	37.8%
Total	Count	17	20	37	
	% within TPPvar	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Tauc = -.400; Somer's d = -.403;

p = .012

2. Should Canada join the Trans-Pacific Partnership to promote potential exports to Asia?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

2. Should Canada join the Trans-Pacific Partnership despite potential political and cultural hazards?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

Note: Responses recoded as shown in table.

gunOK * gunvar Crosstabulation

		gunvar		Total
		to own	to carry	
gunOK very acceptable	Count	2	1	3
	% within gunvar	10.0%	5.9%	8.1%
somewhat acceptable	Count	10	2	12
	% within gunvar	50.0%	11.8%	32.4%
not at all acceptable	Count	8	14	22
	% within gunvar	40.0%	82.4%	59.5%
Total	Count	20	17	37
	% within gunvar	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tauc = .403; Somer's d = .406;

p = .007

12. To what extent is it morally acceptable to own a firearm for self-defence?

1. Very acceptable
2. Somewhat acceptable
3. Not at all acceptable

12. To what extent is it morally acceptable to carry a firearm for self-defence?

1. Very acceptable
2. Somewhat acceptable
3. Not at all acceptable

limitnarcan * mentiontax Crosstabulation

		tax burden		Total	
		number of overdoses	Financial burden		
limitnarcan	no	Count	16	8	24
		% within mentiontax	80.0%	47.1%	64.9%
	not sure	Count	3	6	9
		% within mentiontax	15.0%	35.3%	24.3%
	yes	Count	1	3	4
		% within mentiontax	5.0%	17.6%	10.8%
Total	Count	20	17	37	
	% within mentiontax	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Tauc = .336; Somer's d = .338;

p = .027

23. With the number of Fentanyl overdoses in BC, should a limit be introduced to the number of times life-saving Narcan can be administered to an over-dosing user?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

23. With the financial burden to tax payers from the high number of Fentanyl overdoses in BC, should a limit be introduced to the number of times Narcan is administered to an over-dosing user?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Not sure

Note: Responses recoded as shown in table.

Predicting Narcan Limits with Ideology & Tax Frame

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	Sig.	Tolerance
		b	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	2.046	.278		.000	
	liberal	-.245	.076	-.464	.003	.972
	tax frame	.607	.198	.439	.004	.972


R² = .340

Adj R² = .300

Note DV is coded: 1=no; 2 = not sure; 3 =yes.

Thus negative b & Beta values indicate decreased support for limits on Narcan use, while positive values indicate increased support for limiting its use.

Moral Foundations by Ideology following Jonathan Haidt's
The Righteous Mind: Why Good People are Divided by Religion and Politics



UVic Methods Class-Autumn 2017

These results are based on Haidt's measures and are consistent with his hypothesis that in making moral judgments liberals rely only on the values of avoiding harm and ensuring fairness whereas moderates and conservatives draw upon a broader range of values.